

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/371,76	9 08/10/	99 HACKER		<u></u>	514413-3765	
$\Gamma$					EXAMINER		
	020999 FROMMER L	AWRENCE &	HM22/0924 HΔDG		PRYNE	) Δ	
	745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	NEW YORK	NY 10151			1616 DATE MAILED:	<u>13</u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Application No.

09/371,769

Applicant(s)

Hacker et al

Office Action Summary

**Alton Pryor** 

Art Unit 1616

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover si	heet with	the corres	<del>-</del>	
A SHOTHE No. 1 occ Failure - Any records	For Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. Use period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory immunication. Use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, but the period by the Office later than three months after the rined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 (a). In cation. s, a reply within to period will apply y statute, cause to the second cause to th	no event, the statuto and will e	, however, roory minimum expire SIX (6	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 9, 20	01				
2a) 💢					•	
3) 🗆						
Disposi	tion of Claims			71, 100	0.0.270.	
	Claim(s) <u>13-26</u>			is/are	pending in the application.	
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.	
_	Claim(s) 23				is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 21, 22, and 26			*10-1-4	is/are rejected.	
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>13-21, 24, and 25</u>				is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	ar	e subjec	t to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Applica 9) ☐ 10) ☐ 11) ☐ 12) ☐	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is			b)□ disapproved.	
13) ☐ a) ☐	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign particle. All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burse et the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestice.	ve been receiv ve been receiv documents hav eau (PCT Rule ne certified cop	ed. ed in Ap e been r 17.2(a)). sies not r	plication N eceived in eceived.	lo this National Stage	
Attachm	ent(s)				•	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview	Summary (P	ГО-413) Рарег	No(s)	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of I	nformal Pate	nt Application	(PTO-152)	
17) 📙 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-22,24-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection / objection.

## Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-21,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Negative proviso at the end of claims 13 and 21 is new matter.

#### Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21,22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudetz et al (US 5,981,432; 11/9/99) on record. Hudetz discloses that pendimethalin, glyphosate, and glufosinate are equivalent herbicides. Hudetz teaches a method of applying said herbicides to

Application/Control Number: 09371769

Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

cotton plants. See abstract. It would have been obvious to combine said herbicides into a single composition. One would have been motivated to do this since said herbicides are taught to be equivalent.

### Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Hudetz does not teach a method of applying a synergistic amount of the instant combination to plants. Claim 23 is allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest a synergistic combination comprising glufosinate plus cycloxydim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09371769 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

# Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

Patent Examiner, AU 1616

9/21/01

S. MANN CLAND PATENT EXAMINER CROUP 12:00 16 (6